Operationalising Septage Management guidelines in Tamilnadu

Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department Government of Tamilnadu

Tamil Nadu – The most urbanized state in India

- TN Population
 :72 million (2011)
- Urban Population : 35 million (48%)
- ✤ Total no. of ULB :664
- ***** City Municipal Corporations :12
- Municipalities :124
- ✤ Town Panchayats : 528



Tamil Nadu Scenario

- Urban Population > 3.49 Crores
- Waste Generation ≻ 2049 MLD
- **UGD** Coverage
 - Completed 35
 - > On going 24
 - Proposed 16
- Total Installed Capacity of STP ≻ 1280.25 MLD
- Utilization
- > STP 60% (Average)
- Capacity 769.11 MLD



Existing scenario in Tamil Nadu





The strategy of the State is to provide conventional Under Ground Sewerage

System in the areas with high population density and Septage Management in

areas with low population density

◆ Even in ULBs where UGSS is available, as they cover only part of the ULBs,

the remaining areas are covered by Septage Management.



Need for Faecal Sludge / Septage Management (FSM)

- ✤Pollution of Ground water due to un planned disposal of Faecal sludge.
- Disposal of untreated waste water into water bodies resulting into faecal contamination
- ✤No standardization of practises.
- Virtually all poor people use on-site sanitation or have no access to improved sanitation
- Septic tanks are not cleaned regularly and are draining out into the open storm water drains. In cases where septic tanks do not exist, the faecal matter is let out into the drains, Nallahs etc., leading to direct contamination.



Need for Faecal Sludge / Septage Management (FSM)

Inadequate formalized de-sludging services

Legal Framework

Smaller ULBs like Grade II Municipalities and Town Panchayats do not have the capacity to create and manage assets for treatment of liquid waste as it involves large investment on capital and the Operations & Maintenance and long gestation periods & under utilization of STP.



Septage Management Operative Guidelines



Tamil Nadu is the first State in the Country to issue the Operative Guidelines for Septage Management in a comprehensive manner.



Salient Features of Septage Management





9

Record Keeping & Reporting (MIS)

Design and Construction of Septic Tanks

- Evaluate existing septic tank designs
- Survey of insanitary latrines
 - ✓ As per Survey- 83196
 - ✓ No. of house holds notice issued- 83196
 - ✓ No. of Insanitary Latrines demolished- 10430
- Converting them into sanitary latrines
 - ✓ No. of Sanitary Latrines converted by UGSS/Septic tank 67498
 - ✓ Balance to be converted- 12397



- Conversion of septic tanks into standard septic tanks as per CPHEEO norms-
 - ✓ This is a time consuming process to convince a public to convert the improperly designed septic tank in to proper one.
 - ✓ There is also the constrained of space availability. Considering common septic tanks for three or four houses if space available. Increasing the frequency of de-sludging.
 - ✓ The survey conducted at Namakkal Municipality and Erumapatti Town Panchayat will be the basis for the State to evolve the strategy for Conversion.



Pumping and De-Sludging

- ✤ Periodic De-Sludging of septic tanks and their regulated transportation is Mandated for which 211 operators are registered in 32 local Bodies .
- the State intends to arrive at the calendar of de-sludging at the household level based on the pilot studies in Trichy and Periyanayakan palayam





11

Septage Transportation

- Selection Process
- Licensing the operator
- Training in operation and safety procedure
- Operator Permit
- 211 Nos. of operators registered so far





Treatment & Final Disposal

- Decanting facility design based on expected volume of cluster of ULBs
- Quality checks for input sewage
- Planning for next 5 years





Arrangement of Treatment of Faecal sludge Generated from Non network area

Collection system for cluster Local Bodies.

- No .of Clusters :31
- No. of Local Bodies covered
 - Corporation :10
 - Municipalities :35
 - Town Panchayats :30
 - Union of Villages :55



Cluster Example





Tiruchirapalli Corporation – De-Sludging operation







Decanting arrangement at Anna Stadium Pumping Station in Trichy Corporation Snap Shot







Decanting arrangement provided at STP in Namakkal Municipality







ULB Staff	Residents	Operators
Commissioners, Executive officers, Engineers, Health Officers, Sanitary Inspectors, Sanitary Workers Trained in safe & best practices Regular training on collection & disposal Periodic inspections Quality safety standards	 Residents Welfare Associations, Community Organizers, Self Help Group, General Public Health hazards associated with Septage Illegal dumping Illegal discharge Procedures & facilities available for safe disposal 	 Septage Transporters / Private Vendors Safety norms for operation Safe collection and transportation Vehicle Design De-Sludging Use of safety gears & safe disposal



Status of Implementation of Septage Management with Existing STPs							
Septage Management							
S.No	• Name of the ULB	No of Sewerage Collection Tankers available	Capacity of tank in Lit	No of Trips/Day	Remarks		
1	Kancheepuram	8	9000	2	Discharged in the ULB's STP		
2	Maraimalai Nagar	6	9000	2	Discharged in the ULB's STP		
3	Chengalpattu	4	3000	3	Maraimalainagar STP		
4	Cuddalore	5	6000	3	Discharged in the ULB's STP		
5	Nellikuppam	1	4000	2	Cudalore STP		
6	Pallavaram	1	6000	3	Perungudi STP		
7	Tambaram	3	12000	3	Perungudi STP		
8	Anakaputhur	1	6000	1	Perungudi STP		
9	Pammal	2	6000	4	Perungudi STP		
10	Sembakkam	2	7500	2	Perungudi STP		
11	Avadi	8	8000	2	Koyembedu STP		
12	Poonammallee	8	6000	9	Koyembedu STP		
13	Tiruvannamalai	5	3000	2	Dialegrand in the III Del CTD		
14	Villupuram	3	6000	3	Discharged in the ULBS SIP		



Status of Implementation of Septage Management with Existing STPs						
Septage Management						
S.No	Name of the ULB	No of Sewerage Collection Tankers available	Capacity of tank in Lit	No of Trips/Day	Remarks	
15	Ramanathapuram	2	5000	2		
16	Kumbakonam	3	6000	3		
17	Mayiladuthurai	1	5000	6		
18	Tiruvarur	1	6000	2	_	
10	10	Dudulilattai	1	9000	4	
19	I UUUKKOllaI	9	5000	4		
20	Perambalur	4	5000	8	Discharged in	
21	Namakkal	3	4000	5	the Respective ULBs' STP	
22	Dharmapuri	2	5000	2		
23	Udumalaipet	2	5000	2		
24	Udhagamandalam	4	3000	1		
25	Madurai	6	9000	2		
26	Coimbatore	6	9000	2		
27	Tiruchirappalli	5	9000	2		
28	Tiruppur	7	9000	2		
29	Dindigul	4	5000	2		
30	Vellore	4	5000	2		
31	Virudhunagar	3	5000	-		
32	Krishnagiri	8/10	5000/3000	-	-	



Fees/Charges for De-Sludging, Transportation & Treatment

- Fees for De-Sludging to be collected from residents.
- Transport charges should be determined based on market rates. –
 - ✓ Right now being charged by operators.
 - ✓ The operators doing regularly de-sludging activities without any problem in that area.
 - ✓ If any Gap identified, the study will be done for arriving appropriate solution.
- For treatment, the on-going rate of Rs.150-200 can be charged for 9000 liters of waste collected.
- Periodic revisions for the charges to be effected based on revisions in costs involved.





Record Keeping & MIS

- Information Related to Septage Generation
- Insanitary Latrines
- Septic Tanks
- Disposal
- Vehicle Tracking with GIS
- Web and Mobile Applications

	1 1		
миzhu Sugatharam кимвалния 🧕	🖓 и Sugatharam кимоял	THUR 👤 🔞 🍁 Muzhu Sugatharam Kunorathur 🙎	Ô
2017/01 Kundrathur	2017/01 Kundrathur	2017/01 Kundrathur	
2.1 General Information	4. Whether the number of wards have changed post 201	1? 1. Number of slums	
1. Total population of the ULB	Source Data	Notified slums Non-notified slums	
Source Data	Census Vo What is the old number?	Total	
2. No. of households of the ULB	22	6	
Source Data	5. Current status of slums	2. Number of slum households	
Kundrathur self 🗸 13351	Source	Notified slums Non-notified slums	
3. Have the boundaries changed post 2011?		2113	
Source Data	1. Number of slums	Total	
Census 🗸	Votified slums Non-notified slum	15 2113	
What is the last revision of boundaries?	6	Remarks : ()	
31-12-2011	Total	kundrathur actual 18 ward but other 4 wards added in kundrathur a panic he try,thandalam, tharaipakkam, Erundamkatalai ,	perky
4. Whether the number of wards have changed post 2011?	6		
Source Data	, 2. Number of slum households		
Add Source Delete Source Save Close	Add Source Delete Source Save	Close Add Source Delete Source Save Close	
GI 1 of 6	S G 1 of 6	🕑 Gl 1 of 6	her





23

Plan of Action

#	KeyTasks	M1	M2	M 3	M4	M5	M6
1	Design and Construction of Septic Tanks						
	Evaluate Existing Septic Tank Design						
	Modify septic tanks based on design						
	Issue notices under Tamil Nadu Public Health Act, 1939						
	Identify Insanitary Latrines and Convert to Sanitary Latrines						
2	Pumping and De-Sludging						
	Identify Locations where sewerage water is getting mixed with water bodies or storm water drains						
	Create facility to collect sullage water						
3	Septage Transportation						
	Grant Licenses to Operators						
	Ensure appropriate tankers with staff equipped with safety and other protective gear						
	Ensure collection efficiency is increased by 10% every 6 months						
	Organize efficient routes to designated STP / Septage receiving facility						
4	Treatment and Final Disposal						
	Design of decant facility as per CPHEEO norms						
	Input quality of sewage to be tested and periodically reported						
	Increase utilization of STP by 10% every 6 months						
5	IEC Activity						
	One training session every 3 months to Local Body staff						
	Monthly engagement with residents						
	Orientation session for Septage Operators						
6	Record Keeping						24
							24



Salient Features of Draft Bye-Laws

- Designed as a template for ULBs to adopt and enact
- Covers various aspects of the Septage Management
- Salient Features

Responsibility of the residents

- Conversion to Sanitary Latrines
- Maintain Septic Tanks
- Allow inspections at reasonable times

Responsibility of ULBs

- Undertake survey and inspections
- Issue notices
- Implement and Supervise implementation of the bye-laws
- Conduct IEC campaigns
- Undertake investigations and levy penalties





Salient Features of Draft Bye-Laws

Selection & Responsibilities of Operators

- As per Tender Transparency Act
- Operators to comply with all applicable legislations
- Not to transport industrial waste
- Employ only trained personnel
- Responsible for safe disposal of Septage at specified locations

Penalties & Appeals

- Owner / Occupier: Rs. 5,000 in the first instance upto 25,000
- Operator: Rs. 50,000 and upto Rs. 2,00,000 | Cancellation of License
- ULB to constitute an Appelate body
- Right to appeal within 30 days

Standard Formats provided for Licenses to be issued



Way Forward

- Micro Level Planning
 - ✓ CSP Will be addressing the periodicity matrix and till the final disposal.
 - ✓ Pilot Execution Currently being done in Trichy Corporation, Karunguzhi
 and Periyanayakanpallayam Town Panchayats
- Capacity Building
 - ✓ULB Staff
 - ✓ Operators
- Customizing IEC Program



Technical Partnership to achieve Total Sanitation:

- MOC Signed with Bill and Melinda Gates foundation(BMGF) on 04.08.2015.
- BMGF will support Government of Tamilnadu for total sanitation.
- Appointment of TSU to provide support for improved sanitation through the following program components that will be implemented at the State level and in at least two cities.
 - 1. Improved enabling environment and governance:
 - 2. Engineering and Planning:
 - 3. Implementation Support:
 - 4. Behavior Change and Communication:
 - 5. Enterprise Development:
 - 6. Capacity Building and Training:
 - 7. Knowledge Management:
 - 8. Monitoring, Learning and Evaluation



Septage Management Improvement Plans and Strategy

- Draft Recommendations for changes in building rules.
- Draft framework for pilots in three Urban Locations Trichy Corporation, Karunguzhi and Periyanayakanpallayam Town Panchayats, for improved de-Sludging and safe disposal.
- Identification of Vulnerable areas in respect of ground water
- Treatment of Grey water and safe disposal.
- Behavior change and Communication.



Thank You



30